

Executive Orders Ushering in Socialist Dictatorship

"The Constitution is What I Say It Is!"

Flush from his state visit to Communist China and his welcome and stay at the imperial digs at Xi'an, President Clinton returns home to pursue his domestic agenda with renewed vigor. Perhaps inspired by the efficiencies of the Chinese political system, or merely driven by his own "vision" and unrelenting ambition, Clinton is planning a blitz of executive orders that will facilitate his political goals, with or without Congress. His first strike was launched, ironically, on July 4th, the day Americans traditionally celebrate their independence from this sort of despotic rule.

According to an article in the *Los Angeles Times*, Clinton's first decree ordered that warning labels be applied to fruit and vegetable juices that have not been pasteurized – part of his \$101 million "food safety" initiative. Later this week, Clinton will decree measures to "improve health care and cut juvenile crime." ¹ It will be difficult to find credible political opposition against such seemingly altruistic measures – the achievement of a technique called "spin".

Some argue that because there are less than forty legislative days in this session, Clinton is merely trying to embarrass Congress into passing legislation on his domestic agenda. With his second term less than half over he wants to avoid becoming a lame duck and his executive orders are not far-reaching, they say. While these arguments may have merit on their face, they do not address the underlying character of this president and the larger agenda that seems so deftly to evade public scrutiny.

Clinton warned America about his ambition to create law without the entanglements of the legislative process. During the 1992 Democratic National Convention he said, "President Bush: if you won't use your power to help people, step aside, I will." ² With tobacco legislation up in smoke and Congress quickly losing interest in his other policy objectives, Clinton is going to do just that, with the clarification that the people he will be helping will be himself and the socialist elite who put him in office.

What Would We Do Without Bill Clinton?

"He's ready to work with Congress if they will work with him," spinned Rahm Emanuel, senior policy adviser to the president. "But if they choose partisanship, he will choose progress." ³ In other words, opposing the president's agenda is partisanship, while the president ruling by decree is "progress."

"This president has a very strong sense of the powers of the presidency, and is willing to use all of them," warned Paul Begala, another senior adviser. ⁴

"The president has an agenda and to the extent that Congress stifles that agenda, we are going to move forward with appropriate executive action," added another unnamed

administration official. "The president has proved over the course of his presidency he can deal with a Congress that wants confrontation, like when they shut the government down ... or with a Congress that wants cooperation, when he worked side by side with the leadership in 1997 to pass a bipartisan balanced budget." ⁵ Evidently, it was Congress that shut the government down, not Clinton, according to this official, who also gave Clinton credit for the so-called balanced budget.

The idea this administration is inculcating into the public mind is that we need a strong leader who can act unilaterally in creating laws, and that Bill Clinton is the one because he cares so much about all of us. It's the "we need a dictator if anything is going to get done" syndrome that emerges in every civilization's decline.

Those skeptical of the malignant designs of this president should consider Executive Order #13083, quietly signed by Clinton on May 14th while he was visiting (ironically) Birmingham, England. This decree becomes law ninety days after signing, unless Congress votes it down. So far, the alarming truth regarding this executive order has failed to penetrate the stuporous fog surrounding Capitol Hill.

EO #13083 – Good-bye Congress and States' Rights

Executive Order (EO) #13083 is simply entitled "Federalism." It begins with constitutionally correct language that will put you to sleep before you discover what is being slipped into place. For those who remain awake and further examine the EO's benign sounding lawyer-speak, a fundamental change in the American form of government becomes evident.

In #13083 Clinton revokes President Reagan's EO #12612, also entitled "Federalism". Both documents contain similar language and to the casual observer, similar principles. However, when the two documents are closely compared, the contrast between them is clear. The Reagan EO reaffirms states' rights by declaring:

The people of the States created the national government and delegated to it enumerated powers relating to matters beyond the competence of the individual States. All other sovereign powers... are reserved to the States or to the people.

The constitutional relationship among sovereign governments, State and national, is formalized in and protected by the Tenth Amendment of the Constitution...In Thomas Jefferson's words, the States are "the most competent administrations for our domestic concerns and the surest bulwarks against anti-republican tendencies."

Clinton's revocation of Reagan's EO sheds much light on his own. Clinton does not mention the Tenth Amendment and when defining the limitations on federal agencies in their regulation of the states, Clinton uses phrases like, "there should be strict adherence to constitutional principles" ... "federal oversight should not unnecessarily intrude on

state and local discretion" ... "agencies are encouraged to comply with this order ... the people of the States are at liberty to define the moral, political, and legal character of their lives, subject only to the limitations ... in federal law."

EO #13083 gives federal and "independent agencies" authority to "implement policies which supercede state law if there is a need for uniform national standards ... if the agency or bureaucracy can govern more cheaply ... if the federal agency can better "protect" the individual rights and liberties (by whose definition?) ... or if the agency thinks the state will be unable to implement the policy." In other words, federal agencies can issue regulations that supercede state law whenever and wherever they like. What's more, states may no longer file claims against the federal government for unfunded mandates issued by the bureaucratic elite in these federal agencies.

The June 18, 1998 issue of the *USA Journal* accurately remarks that EO #13083:

...reads like a constitutional death sentence... the Framers never intended for the divisions of power within the federal government to be circumvented by "executive departments and agencies in the formation and implementation of policies." The President has essentially said that there is no longer any need for a legislative branch of government, if all typical lawmaking can be replaced by executive fiat and agency regulations disguised as federal law.

EO #13083 essentially puts lawmaking power into the hands of the president and his federal agencies, circumventing the legislative branch of government, eliminating states' rights as defined in the Tenth Amendment, all in what amounts to a bloodless coup perpetrated by the president himself.

Globalist Dreamers and the Urge to Rule

Doing away with the separation of powers and constitutional government while elevating a dictator to power might not be so bad if the dictator were a good man. Human beings are not inherently good, however, and it is power that tends to elicit the darkest impulses of human nature. Powerful positions generally attract those most controlled by their own evil traits of character, as is demonstrated currently in the U.S. presidency. This is the reason Clinton's secret signing of E.O. #13083 and his current blitzkrieg of imperial decrees should be viewed with great concern.

It is not just that Bill Clinton has such a violent temper that his former adviser Dick Morris has reportedly nicknamed him "The Monster", although his lack of self control should call into question his qualifications for leadership of a nuclear superpower.⁶

It is not just that he commits adultery with, exposes himself to and aggressively propositions other women as has been alleged by many, although this should be sufficient to impeach his character.

And it is not just that many of Clinton's former friends and associates are dropping dead like flies under suspicious circumstances, although this should be sufficient to provoke an aggressive and thorough investigation (not the kind conducted by Independent Counsel Kenneth Starr).

It is Clinton's solid Rhodes background that should say the most about where his trend of executive orders is headed. Bill Clinton was a Rhodes scholar at Oxford University and since becoming president, he has surrounded himself with Rhodes scholars in his administration.⁷

Much has been written about British diamond magnate Cecil Rhodes, founder of the Rhodes Scholarship. Briefly, he was dedicated to establishing a socialist one-world government controlled by a small group of elite – a world view he received from John Ruskin, his socialist professor at Oxford. After making his fortune in diamonds, Rhodes established a secret society in the form of a scholarship to promote this ideal should it fail to materialize before his death. Rhodes biographer Sarah Millin wrote: "The government of the world was Rhodes' simple desire."⁸

In a letter to close friend and publisher W. T. Stead (fall of 1890) Rhodes described his plan: "The key of my idea discussed with you is a Society, copied from the Jesuits as to organization... an idea which ultimately (leads) to the cessation of all wars and one language throughout the world.... The only thing feasible to carry this idea out is a secret one [society] gradually absorbing the wealth of the world to be devoted to such an object.... Fancy the charm to young America ... to share in a scheme to take the government of the whole world!" Rhodes also told Stead that scholars should possess the following traits: "smugness, brutality, unctuous rectitude, and tact."⁹

Webster's dictionary defines "unctuous" as "oily in speech or manner; plastic; moldable; characterized by a smug, smooth pretense of spiritual feeling, fervor, or earnestness, as in seeking to persuade." It is Clinton's special Rhodesian qualities that gained him the moniker "Slick Willie." Not only in character but in policy may we see that Clinton is Cecil Rhodes' man for all seasons.

Rhodes was intimately linked with the one-world money cabal of his time which was ensconced in New York and England. From this shadowy network of wealthy socialists emerged Mr. Edward Mandell House, close friend and advisor to President Woodrow Wilson who said of House, "His thoughts and mine are one." House penned a schlocky novel entitled, *Philip Dru: Administrator - A story of Tomorrow* (1912) which he described as "my ethical and political faith." It was recognized as a blueprint for a socialist takeover of America which has been followed nearly to the letter.¹⁰

Philip Dru embodies the political faith of all one-world dreamers from earliest times to our present day. While there are many comparisons to be made, the ideal of an "enlightened despot" is most pertinent to the subject of Clinton's executive orders. In House's book the fictional Philip Dru leads a putsch against the constitutional

government of the United States. He arrives in Washington "panoplied in justice and with the light of reason in his eyes... the advocate of equal opportunity... with the power to enforce his will." ¹¹

With a "quivering heart" Dru contemplates injustice. He assumes "the powers of a dictator, distasteful as it was to him", abolishes the constitutional government and replaces it with an omni-competent "positive" government in which "the property and lives of all were now in the keeping of one man." Dru decrees that any attempt to restore the Constitution to be "seditious, and would be punished by death." The hidden oligarchy behind Dru unites the Western Hemisphere under one political organization, led by Philip Dru, which is then integrated into a world government based on spiritually-leavened Marxism. ¹²

The Deluded Despot

For those who have eyes to see, it is evident that Bill Clinton is a product of the ideology that produced Philip Dru. This is evident by his numerous memberships in secretive one-world organizations such as the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission, the Bilderbergers, the Masonic Order of Demolay, et cetera. ¹³ This is also evident by his political agenda which is an expression of the socialist one-world platform, an agenda he plans to carry out by executive order if necessary.

What some may find most disconcerting is Clinton's apparent delusional self image as a gigantic paragon of morality and virtue. If there is a church pulpit nearby, he has to speak from it. He quotes the Scriptures. He lectures on tolerance and responsibility as though he wrote the book on it. He undoubtedly sees himself as "enlightened", a dangerous delusion for someone so lacking in character, so compromised, so controlled by his own passions. It is a dangerous delusion for a leader who is now tightening his grasp on the reins of power. It is dangerous for America. But, of course, this is part of "the plan".

Written July 9, 1998

¹ "President Plans Blitz of Executive Orders", Elizabeth Shogren, Los Angeles *Times* July 4, 1998.

² *ibid.*

³ *ibid.*

⁴ "Clinton Plunges Back into Stalled Domestic Agenda", Reuters, July 4, 1998.

⁵ *ibid.*

-
- ⁶ In his booklet *Secret Records Revealed - the men, the money, and the methods behind the New World Order* (p. 46), Dennis Laurence Cuddy, Ph.D writes: "During the 1992 presidential campaign, when some people angered Bill Clinton, he was quoted as saying: 'I want to put a fist halfway down their throats with this. I don't want subtlety. I want their teeth on the sidewalks.' These comments will be characterized as 'brutal,' one of Cecil Rhodes' requirements for his scholars."
- ⁷ In *Secret Records Revealed*, Cuddy quotes an article printed in the globalist influenced *Christian Science Monitor* (12-7-92) entitled, "A Rhodes Scholar Reaches the Top", written by Rhodes Scholar Robert Rotberg. In his article Rotberg writes: "Rhodes was a man of unparalleled vision....Mr. Clinton's accession to the American presidency fulfills Rhodes' deepest aspiration....Rhodes believed that he had discovered an idea that could lead 'to the cessation of all wars and one language throughout the world.' Rhodes also specified fairly clearly the kinds of men who should received the opportunity to go to Oxford. He had Clinton in mind....They were to 'esteem the performance of public duties' as their highest aim. Rhodes wanted the best men for 'the World's fight.'... In the 90 years of scholarships, only Clinton has taken Rhodes' dreams to the top."
- ⁸ "Reviewing the Rhodes Legacy" by William F. Jasper, *The New American* magazine, 2-20-95.
- ⁹ *Secret Records Revealed*, cited above, p.3
- ¹⁰ *Freedom on the Altar*, William Norman Grigg, American Opinion Publishing, p.18-19.
- ¹¹ Edward Mandell House, *Philip Dru: Administrator - A Story of Tomorrow*, 1920-1935 (New York, 1912).
- ¹² *ibid.*
- ¹³ In his introduction to *Secret Records Revealed* Cuddy quotes an editorial by James K. Kilpatrick printed in the November 18, 1993 edition of *The Wanderer*, a national newspaper. Kilpatrick writes: "Clinton told reporters in an interview that [Prof. Carroll Quigley, Clinton's mentor at Georgetown University and author of *Tragedy and Hope* and *The Anglo-American Establishment*] Quigley's work centered on the existence of a permanent shadow government of powerful bankers and businessmen and government officials that controls the agenda of our political life from behind the scenes. Clinton spoke in that interview of coming to the conclusion, while still a young man, that it was necessary for him to gain access to the inner circle of this group in order to become part of the decision-making process that shapes our world."